



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,928	03/31/2001	John T. Orchard	15685P096	7550

43831 7590 10/02/2006

BERKELEY LAW & TECHNOLOGY GROUP  
1700NW 167TH PLACE  
SUITE 240  
BEAVERTON, OR 97006

EXAMINER

NGO, CHUONG D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2193

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/823,928

Applicant(s)

ORCHARD, JOHN T.

Examiner

Chuong D. Ngo

Art Unit

2193

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2,4-17 and 19-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2,4-17 and 19-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 2,4-17, and 19-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grisamore (6,535,901).

As per claims 2,11,12,14-17,26,27 and 29-31, Grisamore discloses in figures 5 a method for implementing a Wallace-architecture in which input terms are analyzed on a bit-wise basis (see the bridging paragraph of cols. 4 and 5, where each level of bit significance is a column of bit) to segment each level of bit significance of input terms into one or more-groups of three bits (circled three dots), and/or one or more groups of two bits (circled two dot and/or one or more group of one bit (non-circled dots). Full-adders (3-dot circle) and half adders (2-dot circle) are selected to perform Boolean functions on 3-bit groups and 2-bit groups, respectively. The number of adders are clearly depend on a bit-wise analysis of the input terms within each level of bit-significance as claimed (see the bridging paragraph of cols. 4 and 5). It is noted that Grisamore does not teach registers in figure 5. However, Grisamore discloses in col.1, lines 33-40 that it is known in the art to use registers at optimal points in a multiplier to enable pipelined processing which provides a high through put multiply accumulate circuit. Thus it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provides the Wallace-architecture of Grisamore with registers at optimal points in the architecture to enable pipelined processing in order increase the through put of circuit.

As per claims 4,13,19-25 and 28, since it is well know in the art to implement a multiplier by a FPGA (see the cited references), It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, as a matter of design choice, to implement the multiplier by a FPGA as claimed.

Art Unit: 2193

2. Claims 2,4-17 and 19-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costa et al. (5,935,201).

As per claims 2,11,12,14-17,26,27 and 29-31, Costa et al. discloses in figures 7-9 a Wallace-architecture in which full-adders (3-input block) and half adders (2-input block) are selected to perform Boolean functions respectively on 3-bit groups and 2-bit groups in each level of bit significance of input terms (each adder in figures 7 and 8 is labeled corresponding to the levels of bit significance of input terms) . The numbers of adders are clearly depend on a bit-wise analysis of the input terms within each level of bit-significance. Groups of one bit are corresponding to the input terms that are not input to an adder in figures 7 and 8 . It is noted that Costa et al does not teach registers in the Wallace-architecture. However, since it is known in the art to use registers at optimal points in a multiplier to enable pipelined processing which provides a high through put multiply circuit, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provides the Wallace-architecture of Costa et al. with registers at optimal points in the architecture to enable pipelined processing in order increase the through put of circuit.

As per claims 4,13,19-25 and 28, since it is well know in the art to implement a multiplier by a FPGA (see the cited references), It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, as a matter of design choice, to implement the multiplier by a FPGA as claimed.

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/26/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is respectfully submitted that Grisamore and Costa et al. as explained above clearly teach a Wallace-architecture of full adders to receive groups of three bits, half adders to receive groups of two bits. In addition, the well-known use of registers at optimal points in a

Art Unit: 2193

multiplier to enable pipelined processing which provides a high through put multiply accumulate circuit discloses in Grisamore, col.1, lines 33-40 clearly motivate a persons of ordinary skill in the art to provide the multipliers of Grisamore and Costa et al. registers to hold groups of one bits in order to enable pipelined processing. For example, in order to implement the multiplication in figure 5 of Grisamore in a pipelined fashion, an upper layer (the top layer #6, e.g.) should provide all the signals to a lower layer (the next lower layer #4, e.g. ) at the same time. Therefore, the need of registers to hold those bit (1-bit groups) that are not processed by either full or haft adder in the top level 6 is obvious in order to wait for the full and half adders to process 3- and 2-bit groups. Further, there is no reason why the modifications to Grisamore and Costa et al. would result in a non-functional modifications. In fact, the modifications Grisamore and Costa et al. clearly result high through put multiply accumulate circuits.

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2193

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong D. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3731. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Chuong D Ngo  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2193

09/26/2006